ACTIVITIES OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS, INDEPENDENT BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS AND BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS SIZE, AND COMPANY SIZE AS INTERVENING VARIABLES: AGAINST COMPANY FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE (Empirical Study of Manufacturing Companies Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) for the 2017 - 2021 period) (Novriyani and Warnadi)



Jurnal Manajemen dan Bisnis Vol. 11, No. 2, December 2022, pp. 359-367 Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Indragiri (STIE-I) Rengat https://journal.stieindragiri.ac.id/index.php/jmbi/issue/view/25

ACTIVITIES OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS, INDEPENDENT BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS AND BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS SIZE, AND COMPANY SIZE AS INTERVENING VARIABLES: AGAINST COMPANY FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

(Empirical Study of Manufacturing Companies Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) for the 2017 - 2021 period)

Novriyani¹⁾, Warnadi²⁾

¹⁾²⁾ Management Study Program, Economics College Of Indragiri Rengat <u>novriyani@stieindragiri.ac.id</u> <u>warnadi@stieindragiri.ac.id</u> Submited: 2022.12.26 Reviewed: 2023.01.10 Accepted: 2023.01.12 <u>https://doi.org/10.34006/jmbi.v11i2.525</u>

Abstract

This study aims to determine the effect of the activities of the Board of Commissioners, the Independent Board of Commissioners and the Size of the Board of Commissioners, and Company Size as an Intervening Variable: on Company Financial Performance (Empirical Study of Manufacturing Companies Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) Period 2017 - 2021). This type of research uses secondary data. The population of this study includes all manufacturing companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) in 2017-2021. The sampling technique used was purposive sampling. The population data for this study are companies registered at PT. Indonesia Stock Exchange and obtained a sample of 10 companies. The data analysis method used is Path Analysis with SPSS. The results of this study show that the activities of the Board of Commissioners, the Independent Board of Commissioners and the Size of the Board of Commissioners, and Company Size as Intervening Variables: on the Company's Financial Performance both partially and simultaneously have a positive effect and and significant and there is a negative effect and not significant.

Keywords : Board of Commissioners' Activities, Independent Board of Commissioners, Size of the Board of Commissioners, Financial Performance and Company Size

PRELIMINARY

Today's increasingly rapid economic competition has resulted in companies having to pay more attention directly to the performance of their companies. This is done so that the company's goals are achieved, namely maximizing profits and welfare of stakeholders. In achieving good financial performance, companies need to maximize operating activities such as maximizing sales and reducing expenses (Fahmi and Rahayu, 2017).

According to FCGI (2002) Good Corporate Governance (GCG) is good corporate governance as a top priority. In this paradigm, researchers will discuss the three organs of Good Corporate Governance (GCG), namely the size of the board of commissioners, the independent board of commissioners and the activities of the board of commissioners. The board of commissioners is an organ of the company whose job is to carry out general and/or specific supervision in accordance with the articles of association and provide advice to the directors.

11, No. 2, December 2022, pp. 359-367 ps://doi.org/10.34006/jmbi.v11i2.525 The activities of the board of commissioners discuss sharpening the pattern of supervision of the board of commissioners according to roles, functions and responsibilities so that the implementation is effective and accountable. The meeting has also established a fixed schedule for regular monthly meetings and a mechanism for meeting the board of commissioners with the directors as well as to further empower the function of the audit committee in assisting the board of commissioners with regard to financial supervision. Independent commissioners are members of the board of commissioners and controlling shareholders, and are free from business relationships or other relationships that may affect their ability to act independently or act solely in the interests of the company. The manufacturing industry as one of the largest industries on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) is a sector supporting economic growth.

In addition to the good implementation of Good Corporate Governance (GCG), company size also influences the achievement of the company's financial performance. Company size can affect stock prices in the capital market. The stock price is the price formed from the interaction of the sellers and buyers of shares which is motivated by the expectation of the company's profit. Conditions of demand or supply of stocks that fluctuate every day will bring fluctuating stock price patterns as well. The difference between the purchase price and the selling price of the shares is the profit that investors enjoy on their stock investments (Wijaya, 2017).The Composite Stock Price Index (IHSG) is one of the stock market indices used by the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX). From the picture below, it can be seen that the movement of the Jakarta Composite Index (IHSG) has fluctuated for the last seven years.

Figure 1.1 Movement of the Jakarta Composite Index (IHSG) for the last 5 (five) years 2017 - 2021 period



Based on the chart above, it is known that the movement rate of the Jakarta Composite Index (IHSG) from 2017 to 2021 has fluctuated.

LITERATURE REVIEW Company Financial Performance - Return on Assets (ROA)

Return on Assets (ROA) is one of the profitability ratios. Return on Assets (ROA) is able to measure a company's ability to generate profits in the past to then be projected in the future. According to Harmono (2011), the following formula is used to measure financial performance:

11, No. 2, December 2022, pp. 359-367 ps://doi.org/10.34006/jmbi.v11i2.525 Return On Assets (ROA) = $\frac{\text{Net profit before tax}}{\text{Total assets}}$

Board of Commissioners' activities

The meeting of the Board of Commissioners discusses sharpening the pattern of oversight of meetings and has also established a fixed schedule for regular monthly meetings and the mechanism for meeting the board of commissioners with the directors as well as to further empower the function of the audit committee in assisting the board of commissioners with regard to financial supervision. The activity of the independent board of commissioners can be calculated using the following formula

Board of commissioners activities = Number of board of commissioners meetings in a year

Independent Board of Commissioners

Independent commissioners are members of the board of commissioners who are not affiliated with management, other members of the board of commissioners and controlling shareholders, free from business relationships or other relationships that may affect their ability to act independently or act solely in the interests of the company (KNKG, 2006). The independent board of commissioners can be calculated using the following formula (Aprianingsih, 2016).

Board of independent commissioners = <u>Number of independent commissioners</u>	
Number of members of the board of commissioners	

Size of the Board of Commissioners

The Board of Commissioners is an organ of the Company whose job is to carry out general and/or specific supervision in accordance with the Articles of Association and provide advice to the directors. (FCGI, 2002). The board of commissioners can be calculated using the following formula (Sinaga, 2014).

Size of the board of commissioners = Number of members of the board of commissioners

Company Size

Company size is one of the important variables in company management. Company size reflects how much sales the company gets (Sinaga, 2014). Company size is calculated using the following formula (Sari, 2014).

Company size = Total assets

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research was conducted at the Indonesian Stock Exchange including data on stock returns obtained from IDX Statistics or the Indonesian Capital Market Dictionary (ICMD). The data used in this study involves quantitative data. Population is a collection of all measurements, objects or individuals being studied. The population used in this study is a manufacturing company registered at PT. Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) 2017-2021. the sample used in this study were 10 manufacturing companies registered with PT. Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) during 2017-2021 which has certain criteria.

The sampling technique used purposive sampling to obtain a representative sample according to the specified criteria. The purposive sampling method is sampling based on the subjective considerations of the researcher where the conditions must be met by the sample. In this study the authors use secondary data obtained from the financial reports of manufacturing companies for 2017-2021 which are published publicly.

Data analysis uses the classical assumption test, normality test, multicollinearity test, heteroscedasticity test, autocorrelation test.

Multiple linear regression analysis using two models namely Regression Model I $Y= \alpha + b1x1 + b2x2 + e$ Regression Model II $Z = \alpha + b1x1 + b2x2 + b3Y + e$ Hypothesis testing and path analysis (Path Analysis) Line I model $Y = \rho yx1 + \rho yx2 + \rho zy + \epsilon 1$ Line II model $Z = \rho zx1 + \rho zx2 + \epsilon 2$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION RESULTS Normality test results

i of maney cost i courts		
-	Table 1.	
K	olgomorov-Smirnov normality test	results
	Unstandardized residual	Unstandardized residual
	Model 1	Model 2
asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	0.841	0.061
Source: SPSS 21, Data processe	ed	
Multicollinearity test rest	ults	
	Table 2	
Multicollir	nearity test results of tolerance and	VIF (model one)
	Coefficients ^a	
Model	(Collinearity Statistics
	Tolerand	ce VIF
(Constar	nt)	
1 ADK	,891	1,122
DKI	,919	1,089
UDK	,966	1,036

a. Dependent Variable: ROA

Source: SPSS 21, Data processed

Table 3	
Table 5	
Multipallingerity test regults of tolerance and VIE (model two)	
Multicollinearity test results of tolerance and VIF (model two)	

Coefficients^a Model Collinearity Statistics Tolerance VIF

	(Constant)		
	ADK	,707	1,415
1	DKI	,694	1,440
	UDK	,694 ,965	1,036
	ROA	,684	1,461

a. Dependent Variable: UP

Source: SPSS 21, Data processed

Autocorrelation test results

	Table 4	
	Autocorrelation test results	
Model	Std. Error of the Estimate	Durbin-Watson
1	3,86865	1,557
2	1,59933	0,725
2222 AL 2		•

Source: SPSS 21, Data processed

Results of Multiple Linear Regression analysis

Table 5Multiple Linear Regression test results (model one)

		Coefficients	a		
Model	Unstandardized	Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
	В	Std. Error	Beta		
onstant)	-2,117	2,421		-,875	,386
θK	,230	,066	,448	3,466	,001
Γ	15,603	4,050	,490	3,853	,000
РК	,054	,290	,023	,186	,853

a. Dependent Variable: ROA

Source: SPSS 21, Data processed

Table 6	
Multiple Linear Regression test results (model two)

		Coefficients ^a			
Model	Unstandardized	Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
	В	Std. Error	Beta		
(Constant)	17,211	1,009		17,056	,000
ADK	-,044	,031	-,236	-1,424	,161
DKI	1,447	1,926	,126	,751	,456
UDK	-,183	,120	-,216	-1,523	,135
ROA	-,027	,061	-,074	-,436	,665
	(Constant) ADK DKI UDK	B (Constant) 17,211 ADK -,044 DKI 1,447 UDK -,183	Model Unstandardized Coefficients B Std. Error (Constant) 17,211 1,009 ADK -,044 ,031 DKI 1,447 1,926 UDK -,183 ,120	ModelUnstandardized CoefficientsStandardized CoefficientsBStd. ErrorBeta(Constant)17,2111,009ADK-,044,031-,236DKI1,4471,926,126UDK-,183,120-,216	Model Unstandardized Coefficients Standardized Coefficients t B Std. Error Beta 17,056 (Constant) 17,211 1,009 17,056 ADK -,044 ,031 -,236 -1,424 DKI 1,447 1,926 ,126 ,751 UDK -,183 ,120 -,216 -1,523

a. Dependent Variable: UP

Source: SPSS 21, Data processed

11, No. 2, December 2022, pp. 359-367 ps://doi.org/10.34006/jmbi.v11i2.525

Hypothesis test t test results From the results of data processing can be presented in the following table: **Regression Model I** Table 7

		1.0010 /	
	Partial over al	l independent variables	
	Independent Factor	t	Significant
ADK		3,466	,001
DKI		3,853	,000
UDK		,186	,853

Source: SPSS 21, Data processed

Regression Model II

	Table 8				
Partial over all independent variables					
Independent Factor	t	Significant			
ADK	-1,424	,161			
DKI	,751	,456			
UDK	-1,523	,135			
ROA	-,436	,665			

Source: SPSS 21, Data processed

Test results f **Regression Model I**

Table 9

1				
ting the hypothesis c	of all variat	oles simultaneou	sly	
A	ANOVA ^a			
Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
317,489	3	105,830	7,071	,001 ^b
688,455	46	14,966		
1005,944	49			
	sting the hypothesis of A Sum of Squares 317,489 688,455	ANOVA ^a Sum of Squares df 317,489 3 688,455 46	AnovaANOVA*Sum of SquaresdfMean Square317,4893105,830688,4554614,966	Anova*ANOVA*Sum of SquaresMean SquareF317,4893105,8307,071688,4554614,966

a. Dependent Variable: ROA

b. Predictors: (Constant), UDK, DKI, ADK

Source: SPSS 21, Data processed

Testing the hypothesis of all variables simultaneously									
ANOVA ^a									
Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square		F	Sig.			
Regression	16,501		4	4,125	1,613	,188 ^b			
Residual	115,104		45	2,558					
Total	131,605		49						

a. Dependent Variable: UP

b. Predictors: (Constant), ROA, UDK, ADK, DKI

Source: SPSS 21, Data processed

Coefficient of Determination (R2)								
Regressio	n Model I							
			Table 11					
		Coefficient of	of determination	test results				
		Me	odel Summary ^b					
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R	Std. Error of the	Durbin-Watson			
			Square	Estimate				
	,562ª	,316	,271	3,86865	1,557			
a. Predict	ors: (Constan	t), UDK, DKI, AD	K					

b. Dependent Variable: ROA

Source: SPSS 21, Data processed

Regression Model II

8			Та	ble 12		
		Coef	ficient of dete	ermination te	est results	
			Model S	ummary ^b		
Model	R	R Square	e Adjuste	d R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Durbin-Watson
	,35	54 ^a	,125	,048	1,59933	,725
a. Predictor	rs: (Const	ant), ROA, U	DK, ADK, D	KI		

TT 1 1 1 2

b. Dependent Variable: UP

Source: SPSS 21, Data processed

DISCUSSION

			Table 1.	3					
Hypothesis testing results									
hypothesis			B Sign		Comparison	Decision			
ADK	То	ROA	0,230	0,001	0,05	Received			
DKI	То	ROA	15,603	0,000	0,05	Received			
UDK	То	ROA	0,054	0,853	0,05	Rejected			
ADK	То	UP	-0,044	0,161	0,05	Rejected			
DKI	То	UP	1,447	0,456	0,05	Rejected			
UDK	То	UP	-0,183	0,135	0,05	Rejected			
ADK, ROA	То	UP	-0,236	0,153	0,05	Rejected			
DKI, ROA	То	UP	0,126	10,375	0,05	Rejected			
UDK, ROA	То	UP	-0,216	0,035	0,05	Received			
PU	То	ROA	-0,074	0,665	0,05	Rejected			
	ADK DKI UDK ADK DKI UDK ADK, ROA DKI, ROA UDK, ROA	ADKToDKIToUDKToADKToDKIToUDKToADK, ROAToDKI, ROAToUDK, ROATo	ADK To ROA DKI To ROA UDK To ROA ADK To UP DKI To UP UDK To UP UDK To UP ADK, ROA To UP UDK, ROA To UP	Hypothesis testin esis B ADK To ROA 0,230 DKI To ROA 15,603 UDK To ROA 0,054 ADK To UP -0,044 DKI To UP -0,183 ADK To UP -0,183 ADK, ROA To UP -0,236 DKI, ROA To UP -0,216	ADK To ROA 0,230 0,001 DKI To ROA 15,603 0,000 UDK To ROA 0,054 0,853 ADK To UP -0,044 0,161 DKI To UP 1,447 0,456 UDK To UP -0,183 0,135 ADK, ROA To UP -0,236 0,153 DKI, ROA To UP 0,126 10,375 UDK, ROA To UP -0,216 0,035	Hypothesis testing results esis B Sign Comparison ADK To ROA 0,230 0,001 0,05 DKI To ROA 15,603 0,000 0,05 UDK To ROA 0,054 0,853 0,05 ADK To UP -0,044 0,161 0,05 DKI To UP -0,044 0,161 0,05 DKI To UP -0,183 0,135 0,05 UDK To UP -0,236 0,153 0,05 DKI, ROA To UP -0,216 10,375 0,05			

Source: SPSS 21, Data processed

CONCLUSION

Based on table 13, the results of the testing and discussion regarding the Activities of the Board of Commissioners, the Independent Board of Commissioners and the Size of the Board of Commissioners, and Company Size as Intervening Variables: On the Company's Financial Performance, both partially and simultaneously, there is a positive and significant effect and there is an influence negative and not significant.

 \setminus

REFERENCE

Abdul Aziz Sarfia. 2016. The Effect of Corporate Governance on Corporate Value with Financial Performance as an Intervening Variable in Manufacturing Companies Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange. Macassar

Abdullah. M N. Parvez K Karim. T. & Tooheen. R.B. 2015. The Impact of Financial Leverage and Market Size on Stock Returns on the Dhaka Stock Exchange: Evidence from Selected Stocks in the Manufacturing Sector. International Journal of Economics, Finance, and Management Sciences. Addiyah A and Anis C. 2014. Effects of Implementation Corporate Governance on Banking Financial Performance Studies on Companies Listed on the IDX.

Ahmad Afnan. 2014. The Effect of Board of Commissioners Size and Proportion of Independent Commissioners on Financial Performance with Profit Management as Intervening Variables. Semarang

Ali Irfan. 2002. Financial Reporting and Information Asymmetry in Agency Relations.

Amalia Soraya. 2011. Analysis of the Effect of Earnings Management on Corporate Social Responsibility with Corporate Governance as a Moderating Variable.

Aprianingsih Astri. 2016. Effect of Good Corporate Governance Implementation, Ownership Structure, and Company Size on Financial Performance.

Bukhari Iqbal. 2012. The Effect of Good Corporate Governance and Company Size on Company Performance. Semarang

Chariri. 2007. Accounting Theory. Semarang

Chtourou L.S. Marrachi. J. Bedard. 2001. Corporate Governance and Earning Management.

Effendi Nury and Maman Setiawan. 2014. Econometrics: theoretical and applied approaches. Jakarta

Exandy Arry. 2018. The Influence of Good Corporate Governance on Financial Performance in Indonesian Sharia Banking. Tangerang

Fahmi and Rahayu. 2017. The Effect of Good Corporate Governance and Company Size on the Financial Performance of Manufacturing Companies Listed on the IDX.

Forum for Corporate Governance in Indonesia. 2002. The Role of the Board of Commissioners and the Audit Committee in the Implementation of Corporate Governance. Gurdyanto Feries. 2019. The Effect of Corporate Governance on the Financial Performance of Manufacturing Companies in the Consumer Goods Industry Sector of the Food and Beverage Sub-Sector on the IDX. Surakarta

Governance Policy National Committee (KNKG). 2006. General Guidelines for Good Corporate Governance in Indonesia. Jakarta

Larasati S. 2017. The Influence of Good Corporate Governance and Corporate Social Responsibility on Performance.

Mulyadi. 2002. Balanced Scorecard, Contemporary Management Tool To Multiply Company's Financial Performance. Jakarta

Nasution Marihot and Setiawan Doddy. 2007. The Effect of Corporate Governance on Profit Management in the Indonesian Banking Industry. Macassar

Ningsih Tri Wulan. 2017. The Effect of Corporate Governance on Financial

Nuryanto and Z.B Pambuko. 2018. Eviews For Basic Econometric Analysis. Magelang Performance Empirical Studies in Banking Companies Registered on the IDX.

Roza Mulyadi. 2016. The Effect of Corporate Governance on Financial Performance.

Santoso Agus. 2017. The Effect of Good Corporate Governance on Corporate Values with Financial Performance as an Intervening Variable. Jember

Sari Dwi Astika. 2014. The Effect of Good Corporate Governance on Profit Management.

Sawir. 2001. Analysis of Financial Performance and Financial Planning. Jakarta

Sugiyono. 2016. Quantitative Qualitative and Combination Research Methods. Bandung

Tri Hardiyawan Aditya. 2015. Analysis of the Effect of Corporate Governance and Leverage on Company Profitability with Firm Size Control Variables (Empirical Study of Go Public Non-Financial Companies Listing on the Indonesian Stock Exchange.

True. 2018. The Influence of Good Corporate Governance on Financial Performance in Real Estate and Property Industrial Manufacturing Companies on the IDX. Central Java

Veno Andri. 2015. The Effect of Good Corporate Governance on Company Performance in Manufacturing Companies Go Public Empirical Studies on Companies Listed on the IDX.

Winarno Wing Wahyu, 2015. Economic and Statistical Analysis with Eviews. Yogyakarta